entitled to incarcerate a person under the provisions.

That is absolutely not the intent of the provision at all. The intent is that an accused be held subject only to those conditions or terms, whatever they may be, and incarceration may be one of them, which will insure his appearance in court.

There is nothing in this proposal that will prevent the Court from exercising discretion in determining whether or not the accused must be incarcerated or what terms must be set forth in order to assure his appearance.

It is merely the statement of a very fundamental principle that no personal shall be held without justification. It is a very personal right that we are talking about here.

Judge Child mentions that he doesn't feel that this is the kind of a thing that the Constitution should guarantee. I say that it is the most important thing a Constitution can guarantee, and that is that a person will not be picked up off the streets and held in jail for an indefinite period, an innocent person, a person who is